

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,559	11/24/2003	Kandukalpatti Chinnaraj Velappan	742429-8	1863
22204 75	590 06/30/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı
/>
0

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,559	VELAPPAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	·= ··-					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u> </u>					
Application Papers						
	· •					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	= : :					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	nriority under 25 H.S.C. & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
•		on No				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
. apor 110(0):11011 = atto	-/					

Application/Control Number: 10/718,559 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification -

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stidham (US 6,127, 560) in view Srivastava.

Stidham teaches a method for preparing lower alkyl esters of soybean oil fatty acids by an alcoholysis reaction of the soybean fatty acid triglycerides with a lower

Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

alcohol which comprises the successive steps of:

- a) comminuting the raw soybeans to crack open their hulls and shatter their kernels;
- b) heating the comminuted soybeans in a high-temperature reactor to elevated temperatures in the range of 235 to 350.degree. F.;
- c) maintaining the soybeans at the elevated temperatures for a period of 1 to 60 minutes;
- d) partially removing the oil contained in the soybeans by mechanical means;
- e) degumming the crude soybean oil to reduce the concentration of phospholipid in the oil;
- f) bleaching the degummed soybean oil to further reduce gums and improve color of the oil;
- g) esterifying the fatty acid glycerides of the soybean oil by an alcoholysis reaction with a lower alcohol in the presence of an alkali catalyst to form fatty acid alcohol esters and glycerine, the conversion of the fatty acid glyceride being in the range of 90 to 99.5% h) separating the glycerine from the crude fatty acid esterification products by settling or other mechanical means;
- i) washing, in one or more steps, the crude fatty acid esterification products by trickling water through the products and allowing the mix of water and fatty acid esterification products to separate into two phases, washed and purified fatty acid esterification product and a water phase containing water, contaminants such as glycerine and unreacted lower alcohol and impurities from the soybean oil used (see claim 1). The catalyst is sodium hydroxide and the lower alcohol is methanol (see col. 7, lines 31-36).

Art Unit: 1714

Stidham teaches the limitations of the claims other than the differences that are discussed below.

In the first aspect, Stidham differs from the claims in that he does not teach the density and iodine value of the oil. However, Srivastava teaches the soybean oil has a density of 0.91 (Table 7) and an Iodine value of up to 2000 (see page 116, first full paragraph).

In the second aspect, Stidham differs from the claims in that he does not teach all of the process parameters with respect to time and temperature. However, differences in temperature and time will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such parameters are critical.

In the third aspect, Stidham differs from the claims in that he does not specifically teach purification by centrifuging. However, Stidham does teach purification by settling or other mechanical means (see claim 1(h)). This teaching suggests centrifuging.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,559 Pàge 5

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10718559\20060626